



ASSESSMENT OF PHYSICOCHEMICAL AND HEAVY METAL CONCENTRATIONS IN WATER SOURCES WITHIN THE UNIVERSITY OF BENIN COMMUNITY, EKENWAN CAMPUS, BENIN CITY, EDO STATE, NIGERIA

UDINYIWE, O.C.^{1*} AND SALAMI, D.A.²

¹Department of Natural Science Laboratory Technology, ²Department of Applied Chemical Science Laboratory Technology, Faculty of Science Laboratory Technology, University of Benin, Benin City, Nigeria

ABSTRACT

Groundwater or borehole water is an important source of water in African towns and cities, and its quality is paramount to public health. The chemical and physical characteristics are of major importance in determining whether water is suitable for use. This study was aimed at determining the physicochemical properties and the heavy metal analysis of water sources in University of Benin, Ekenwan campus, Benin City, Edo State, Nigeria. The physicochemical properties and heavy metals concentrations of the water samples were determined using standard methods of A.O.A.C. Most physicochemical parameters were within FEPA limits, although pH and total suspended solids exceeded recommended limits in some samples. The heavy metal result showed that zinc, copper and lead were within FEPA limits except iron and chromium which were above FEPA acceptable limit. Tested parameters such as pH, E.C., T.S.S, TUR, Hardness, Alkalinity, Chloride, Phosphate, Nitrate, Sulphate, Potassium, Iron, Copper, Lead and Cadmium were significantly different ($P < 0.05$) in all the water samples while Calcium, Magnesium, Sodium and Chromium were not significantly different ($P > 0.05$). Regular monitoring and appropriate treatment of campus water sources are therefore recommended.

Keyword: Groundwater, Borehole, Heavy metal, Physicochemical

***Correspondence:** collins.udinyiwe@uniben.edu, +2348062613143

INTRODUCTION

Water quality assessment is a crucial component of environmental and public health monitoring, especially in institutional settings like universities, where large populations rely on local water sources for daily consumption and sanitation. The University of Benin Ekenwan Campus, located in Edo State, Nigeria, depends on a combination of groundwater, boreholes, and possibly municipal supply for its water needs [1]. However, the physicochemical and heavy metal quality of these water sources remains underexplored and potentially vulnerable to contamination due to factors such as poor waste management, urban runoff, inadequate infrastructure, and aging plumbing systems. The World Health Organization [2] emphasizes that access to safe and potable water is a fundamental human right and a determinant of public health. Water quality is typically assessed by examining physicochemical parameters, including pH, turbidity, conductivity, total dissolved solids (TDS), temperature, and concentrations of potentially harmful substances such as nitrates, heavy metals, and chlorides. These parameters provide a comprehensive understanding of the water's potability,

aesthetic value, and potential health risks [1]. Many Nigerian tertiary institutions suffer from poor sanitation and irregular water monitoring, resulting in unsafe drinking water. The situation is compounded in campuses like Ekenwan, which are located in peri-urban environments with limited governmental oversight and infrastructural investment [3].

In addition, physicochemical parameters and heavy metal contamination play a critical role in determining water safety. Parameters such as pH and electrical conductivity influence not only the aesthetic properties of water but also its corrosiveness and interaction with plumbing systems [4]. High turbidity can shield microorganisms from disinfection, while elevated levels of nitrates or heavy metals such as lead and arsenic are associated with chronic health effects, including cancer, methemoglobinemia, and neurological damage [5, 6]. The proximity of the Ekenwan Campus to residential and industrial zones raises the likelihood of leaching of contaminants from septic tanks, refuse dumps, and industrial effluents into the water table, particularly during the rainy season. Water regulatory bodies such as the Nigerian Standards for Drinking Water Quality (NSDWQ) provide guidelines, but enforcement at local and institutional levels remains

inconsistent [7]. In the case of the University of Benin (Uniben) Ekenwan Campus, it is unclear whether current monitoring practices are adequate or aligned with national and international standards. There is a need for regular monitoring, testing protocols and support-based interventions to improve the water system in university communities. This will help to sustain the health of those interacting with the university community every day [8].

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Sampling location

This study was carried in hostels at Ekenwan Campus, University of Benin, Benin City, Edo State. Edo State is in the Southwestern part of Nigeria at latitude 6.6 °N and 5.9 °E. It is about 40 miles from the Gulf of Guinea. Figures 1 and 2 showing the maps of study location (Ekenwan Campus, University of Benin, Benin City, Edo State).




Figure 1: A map showing the entire environment of the studied area (Ekenwan campus, University of Benin, Benin City, Nigeria).




Figure 2: A map showing the points of sampling within the studied area in the Ekenwan campus, University of Benin, Benin City, Nigeria.

Sample collection

Six water sources were sampled and from each location triplicate samples were collected giving a total of 18 samples. The samples were Notre Dame table water (NT), Uniben table Water (UB), Borehole source of Ekanwan campus (BS), Boys hostel (BH), postgraduate hostel (PH) and girls' hostel (GH) in Ekenwan campus, University of Benin, Benin City, Edo State. The borehole nozzle where the water is pumped to the entire University was sterilized with 70% alcohol and flushed for five minutes before samples were collected into sterilized containers. All samples were collected between the hours of 7.00 am and 9. 00 am and carefully labelled and transported to the laboratory for analysis within 4 hours of collection [9, 10, 11].

Determination of physicochemical and heavy metals properties

Triplicate measurements of each physicochemical properties were made using the methods of AOAC and WHO [12, 13].

Data analysis

The results were analyzed using GraphPad prism version 6.0, data were presented as Mean $\pm$ S.E.M., and statistical significance was calculated using one-way ANOVA.

RESULTS

Physicochemical parameter of water samples from various hostels in University of Benin is shown in Table 1. pH values of the water samples ranged from 4.58 ± 0.03 to 6.38 ± 0.16 , electrical conductivity (EC) ranged from 39.3 ± 0.33 to 112.7 ± 1.67 $\mu\text{S}/\text{cm}$, total dissolved solid ranged from 19.3 ± 0.033 to 56.0 ± 1.00 mg/L, total suspended ranged from 19.3 ± 0.33 to 56.0 ± 1.00 mg/L, hardness ranged from 1.40 ± 0.17 to 2.77 ± 0.12 mg/L, Alkalinity ranged from 0.83 ± 0.05 to 1.58 ± 0.19 mg/L, Chloride ranged from 9.97 ± 0.22 to 34.3 ± 0.21 mg/L, Phosphate ranged from 0.11 ± 0.01 to 0.27 ± 0.01 mg/L, nitrate ranged from 0.08 ± 0.00 to 0.66 ± 0.01 mg/L, Sulphate ranged from 0.20 ± 0.01 to 0.38 ± 0.02 mg/L, Calcium ranged from 0.04 ± 0.01 to 0.06 ± 0.00 mg/L, Magnesium ranged from 3.56 ± 0.47 to 5.54 ± 0.46 mg/L).

Heavy metals

pH, E.C., TDS, Hardness, Alkalinity, Chloride, Phosphate, Nitrate, Calcium, Magnesium, Sodium, Potassium, Zinc and Copper were within FEPA acceptable limit for drinking water while Iron and Chromium were above FEPA acceptable limit in drinking water respectively. Tested parameters such as pH, EC., TDS, S.S, T.S, TUR, Hardness, Alkalinity, Chloride, Phosphate, Nitrate, Sulphate, Potassium, Iron, Copper, Lead and Cadmium were not significantly different in all the water samples, while Iron and Lead were not present. As shown in Table 2.

Table 1: Physicochemical parameter of water samples from various hostels in University of Benin

Parameter	BS	GH	PG	UB	BH	NT	P value	FEPA limit
pH	4.64±0.07	4.58±0.03	5.26±0.16	5.97±0.05	4.68±0.05	6.38±0.16	0.00	6.0-9.0
E.C (µS/cm)	73.7±0.33	74.0±0.00	65.0±1.53	112.7±1.67	72.3±0.88	39.3±0.33	0.00	1000
TDS (mg/L)	0.00±0.00	0.00±0.00	0.00±0.00	0.00±0.00	0.00±0.00	0.00±0.00	0.00	500
TSS (NTU)	37.0±0.00	37.0±0.00	32.3±0.88	56.0±1.00	35.7±0.67	19.3±0.33	0.00	5
TUR (NTU)	0.00±0.00	0.00±0.00	0.00±0.00	0.00±0.00	0.00±0.00	0.00±0.00	0.00	1-5
Hardness (mg/L)	1.97±0.09	2.43±0.06	2.15±0.07	2.77±0.12	1.87±0.03	1.40±0.17	0.00	150
Alkalinity (mg/L)	0.90±0.04	1.38±0.07	1.15±0.03	1.58±0.19	0.97±0.03	0.83±0.05	0.00	30-500
Chloride (mg/L)	27.6±0.20	28.2±0.38	23.9±0.80	34.3±0.21	24.5±1.64	9.97±0.22	0.00	250
Phosphate (mg/L)	0.11±0.01	0.23±0.02	0.19±0.01	0.23±0.01	0.27±0.01	0.11±0.01	0.00	5
Nitrate (mg/L)	0.16±0.02	0.66±0.01	0.48±0.05	0.14±0.01	0.17±0.01	0.08±0.00	0.00	10
Sulphate (mg/L)	0.26±0.01	0.31±0.02	0.23±0.01	0.38±0.02	0.27±0.01	0.20±0.01	0.00	100
Calcium (mg/L)	0.04±0.01	0.04±0.01	0.06±0.00	0.05±0.01	0.04±0.00	0.05±0.00	0.24	75
Magnesium (mg/L)	5.54±0.46	3.56±0.47	3.85±0.11	5.45±0.14	5.09±0.49	4.77±0.69	0.09	0.20-2.00
Sodium (mg/L)	0.02±0.00	0.02±0.00	0.02±0.00	0.02±0.00	0.02±0.00	0.03±0.00	0.18	200
Potassium (mg/L)	0.57±0.03	0.61±0.03	0.41±0.03	0.43±0.03	0.42±0.06	0.34±0.03	0.00	10

KEY: GH- Girls' hostel, PG- postgraduate hostel, NT-Notre Dame table water, UB- Uniben table Water, BH- Boys' hostel, BS – Borehole source, EC- Electrical conductivity, T.S.S. – Total suspended solid, TUR- Turbidity, $P \geq 0.05$ = not significant, $P \leq 0.05$ = significant, FEPA = Federal Environmental Protection Agency

Table 2: Heavy metal parameters of water samples from various hostels in University of Benin

Parameter	BS	GH	PG	UB	BH	NT	P value	FEPA limit
Iron (mg/L)	1.06±0.05	0.76±0.01	0.64±0.02	0.98±0.03	0.56±0.01	0.69±0.02	0.00	0.05
Zinc (mg/L)	0.56±0.03	0.44±0.02	0.36±0.02	0.53±0.03	0.39±0.01	0.31±0.03	0.00	5.0
Copper (mg/L)	0.21±0.02	0.11±0.02	0.12±0.02	0.26±0.03	0.08±0.00	0.08±0.00	0.00	1.0
Lead (mg/L)	0.00±0.00	0.00±0.00	0.00±0.00	0.00±0.00	0.00±0.00	0.00±0.00	0.00	0.01
Cadmium (mg/L)	0.00±0.00	0.00±0.00	0.00±0.00	0.00±0.00	0.00±0.00	0.00±0.00	0.00	0.05
Chromium (mg/L)	0.23±0.10	0.25±0.02	0.23±0.10	0.25±0.02	0.10±0.04	0.19±0.01	0.27	0.05

KEY: GH - girls hostel, PG - postgraduate hostel, NT- Notre Dame table water, UB - Uniben table Water, BH- Boys hostel, $P \geq 0.05$ = not significant, $P \leq 0.05$ = significant, FEPA = Federal Environmental Protection Agency

DISCUSSION

The physicochemical parameters of the water samples from the various hostels were compared with the Federal Environmental Protection Agency (FEPA) standards for drinking water. The result in Table 1 shows that the pH of some of the water sources were outside the limit of FEPA and this could be due to several factors, while some water samples were within FEPA pH limit of 6.0-9.0. The effect of low pH drinking water causes some issues like, damage to the enamel, stomach irritation, heartburn, nausea and this can lead to damage of organs in the body. Only one water source in this study had a pH of 6.38 and this becomes a major concern of the water safety in the University community where this study was conducted. The research of Atuanya *et al.* [14] reported a pH limit of 5.6 to 6.6 on borehole water quality stored for a prolong period of time in Benin City.

Abdullahi *et al.* [15] observed and reported that pH values of 6.5- 8.0 are good for water intended for consumption. The averagely low pH values of the water samples in this study may be due to environmental and commercial pollutants. The low pH in this study is undesirable, because it may have the potential of indirectly affecting the soil biota, as very low high pH can cause plant death as reported by Dhanaji *et al.* [16]. It can also cause toxicity to plants through accumulation of the unionized ammonia on the soil. Water pH is also important because it affects other pollutants, in that, when it is very acidic, metals such as zinc, aluminum and copper are released causing them to accumulate in the food chain. Likewise, when it is more basic it causes the accumulation of the unionized ammonia ions (NH_3) which are known to be very toxic to plants and animals as reported by Jia *et al.* [17]. Josiah *et al.* [18] reported that long term exposure to pH beyond the permissible limit affects the mucous membrane of cells. The difference in pH values of the water samples may be attributed to failed water treatment process, anthropogenic activities such as sewage disposal and water pumping machines in the study area. The report of Olaiya *et al.* [19] stated that water from the borehole groundwater sources can also easily corrode the water piping due to the acidic nature of the water. The damaged metal pipes due to acidic pH values can also lead to esthetic problems, causing water to have a metallic or sour taste.

The result of electric conductivity of the water samples showed that it contained a permissible level of electrical conductivity as recommended by FEPA (1000 $\mu\text{S}/\text{cm}$) with UB water having the highest conductivity. The report of Adegboyega *et al.* [20] stated that specific conductivity of drinking water ranges from <25 to >500 $\mu\text{mhos}/\text{cm}$. Electrical conductivity is a useful indicator of mineralization and salinity or total salt in water sample. The report of Oladipo and Adeboye [21] stated

that electrical conductivity is directly related to the concentration of ions in water and the higher the concentration of ions, the higher the conductivity. High electrical conductivity values are mostly associated with wastewater discharges from sewage and agricultural runoff, all of which are a factor at the study areas. In this study the high electrical conductivity levels recorded may be due to the high concentration of the conducting ions in soil because of elevated temperature and low pH, this is similar to the result reported by Gambo *et al.* [22]. The total dissolved solids observed in this study were within the ideal range of 500 mg/L recommended by FEPA. The presence of total dissolved solid may be due to poor flushing and runoff and high evaporation during water processing. This result obtained in this study agrees with the study of Patel and Patel [23] who reported that total dissolved solids of drinking water ranged from 148 – 198 mg/L. Although total dissolved solids itself are not toxic, certain dissolved constituents in high concentrations can pose health risks. The research of Kumar and Puri [24] stated that high levels of nitrate, fluoride, and heavy metals such as arsenic, lead or cadmium contribute to elevated dissolved solids and can lead to serious health concerns. The research of Awasthi *et al.* [25] stated that excessive sodium intake from drinking water may exacerbate hypertension, especially among vulnerable populations such as pregnant women or individuals with cardiovascular issues.

Total suspended solids are particles suspended in water that are not dissolved and can be trapped by a filter as reported by Singh *et al.* [26]. The research of Raval *et al.* [27] reported that though total suspended solids are typically associated with aesthetic issues such as turbidity, it also has implications for human health, particularly when associated with microbial contamination and toxic substances. In the study area, the highest total suspended solid concentrations were found in the UB water. Total suspended solid in all the water samples collected were above FEPA recommended limit. Suspended solid helps to hide bacteria, which can be pathogenic and cause hard to consumers of such water. This also makes the water unappealing to drink and cause an unpleasant metallic or earthy taste, or even odour. Khaiwal and Suresh [28] reported that one of the primary sources of total suspended solids is when sediments are washed into water bodies. Suthar and Singh [29] reported that high total suspended solids levels provide a medium for microbial pathogens such as bacteria, viruses and protozoa to attach to particulates, protecting them from disinfection during water treatment. This increases the risk of gastrointestinal diseases such as cholera, typhoid and dysentery. According to the WHO [13], increased turbidity caused by high TSS interferes with chlorination and other disinfection processes. Dauda *et*

al. reported that turbidity is one of the important physical parameters for water quality, defining the presence of suspended solids in water and causes the muddy or turbid appearance of water body. In the present study area, the turbidity level was within limits. All the samples analyzed were found within the limits prescribed by FEPA. This indicates that there were no presence of inorganic particulate matter and non-soluble metal oxides. The research of Olaiya *et al.* [19], Dauda *et al.* [30] reported that the consumption of high turbid water may cause a health risk, as excessive turbidity can protect pathogenic microorganisms from effects of disinfectants.

The report of Allamin *et al.* [31] states that alkalinity is an important parameter of water quality whether it is to be used for domestic, industrial or agricultural purposes. Alkalinity of the water is the property attributed to the presence of alkaline earths. It is the property of water by which it prevents lather formation with soap and increases the boiling point of water. The hardness of drinking water in the study areas was within FEPA acceptable limit. The hardness may cause encrustation on water supply distribution systems. Long-term consumption of extremely hard water might lead to an increased incidence of urolithiasis, anencephaly, prenatal mortality, some types of cancer and cardiovascular disorders as reported by Arouna and Dabbert [32]. Mineral components detected in the drinking water chloride, phosphate, nitrate, sulphate, calcium, magnesium, sodium and potassium were all within FEPA permissible limit of drinking water.

The physical and chemical parameters of this study is like the report of Dhanaji *et al.* [16], who assessed the drinking water samples of different places in Kadegaon Tahsil. In their studies, they stated that borehole water contained sulphate, phosphate, nitrate, manganese and potassium and were within FEPA maximum permissible limit respectively [16]. Sulfate occurs naturally in water as a result of leaching from gypsum and other common minerals. Discharge of industrial wastes and domestic sewage tends to increase its concentration [33]. In the study area, the sulfate concentration in borehole water from all the locations was found to be within FEPA drinking water regulation. Sulphate is discharged into water in industrial wastes and through atmospheric deposition; however, the highest levels usually occur in groundwater and are from natural sources as reported by Coria *et al.* [34]. In general, the average daily intake of sulfate from drinking-water, air and food is approximately 500 mg, food being the major source.

However, WHO [35] reported that in areas with drinking-water supplies containing high levels of sulfate, drinking-water may constitute the principal source of intake. Helmut *et al.* [36] reported that phosphate may occur in groundwater because of

domestic sewage, detergents and agricultural effluents with fertilizers. Normally, groundwater contains only a minimum phosphorus level because of the low solubility of native phosphate minerals and the ability of soils to retain phosphate [37]. In the study area, the phosphate concentration was within the FEPA prescribed limit. The result of nitrate concentration obtained in this research work were within the maximum permissible limit of 50 mg/l stated by FEPA for drinking water. The presence of nitrate could be because of the actions of algae through nitrogen fixation and water plants.

However, these findings corroborate the findings of Manassaram *et al.* [38] who reported nitrate value of between 2.21 mg/L and 4.91 mg/L. The research of Ward *et al.* [39] observed that nitrate in drinking water is primarily a result of agricultural runoff, sewage discharge, and industrial effluents. High nitrate value concentration causes algal bloom while nitrate deprivation leads to increased lipid content in algae and in turn affect the water ecosystem. Gomez *et al.* [40] reported that nitrite prevents the blood cells from absorbing oxygen from water. Nitrate can be endogenously reduced to nitrite, which reacts with amines and amides to form N-nitroso compounds, known carcinogens associated with gastric, esophageal and colorectal cancers [41]. The concentration of calcium, magnesium, sodium and potassium which were within FEPA permissible limit observed in this study agrees with the findings of Singh *et al.* [42] who reported borehole water samples contained calcium, magnesium, sodium and potassium which were within world health organization permissible limited. This study also agrees with the findings of Grillo *et al.* [43], Niazi *et al.* [44] and Olalekan *et al.* [45] who reported that calcium, magnesium and sodium detected in borehole water were within WHO permissible limit.

The result in Table 2 shows that the study presented high concentrations of heavy metals such as iron and chromium while Zinc and Copper were within FEPA permissible limits for drinking water in all the water sampling site. This finding is supported by the report of Olalekan *et al.* [45] who reported that the presence of iron in borehole water was commonly attributed to the weathering of iron-bearing rocks and minerals such as hematite and magnetite. Although iron is a vital nutrient involved in haemoglobin formation and enzymatic processes, excessive intake through water may contribute to iron overload, especially in individuals with hemochromatosis or similar metabolic disorders [46]. Elevated iron concentrations can also foster the growth of iron bacteria, leading to biofouling and secondary microbial contamination, thus indirectly posing health risks. The high concentration of chromium in this study is supported by the findings of Singh *et al.* [42] who reported that chromium contamination in borehole water is often linked to industrial effluents,

particularly from leather tanning, electroplating, and pigment manufacturing industries. Chromium IV ion Cr^{6+} is a known carcinogen, with long-term exposure linked to lung cancer, liver damage, kidney failure and skin ulcers [47]. Even low-level ingestion over time may contribute to DNA damage and oxidative stress, making it a significant concern in groundwater safety. Chromium III ion Cr^{3+} , though essential in trace amounts, can also be harmful at elevated levels. Chromium can corrode metal pipes and affect the portability and taste of water. Long-term accumulation in soils and aquatic systems due to improper borehole discharge management can lead to ecological toxicity [48]. The level of zinc observed in this study could be due to low source factors along the study sites. It could also be attributed to unfavourable soil pH at sampling sites because zinc tends to ionize well at pH below 6 [45].

The report of Aminu *et al.* [49] reported that zinc can enter borehole water through the weathering of zinc-containing minerals, corrosion of galvanized pipes, and leaching from industrial and domestic waste. At appropriate levels, zinc is vital to human health. However, excessive ingestion (typically above 10–15 mg/L) can result in gastrointestinal distress such as nausea, vomiting, and abdominal cramps [50]. Chronic exposure to high levels may impair copper absorption, leading to anemia and immune dysfunction [51]. The presence of copper in the water sample is also supported by the findings of Niazi *et al.* [48] who reported that acidic water and low mineral content can accelerate leaching of copper into groundwater systems. While copper is a necessary micronutrient for enzymatic activity and iron metabolism, high levels can be toxic, especially in infants and individuals with Wilson's disease, a genetic disorder of copper metabolism. Acute copper poisoning can cause vomiting, diarrhea, stomach cramps and liver damage. Long-term ingestion of copper-contaminated water may result in liver and kidney dysfunction [47].

CONCLUSION

Most of the physicochemical parameters were within FEPA recommended limits. However, the water samples showed acidic pH values and escalated total suspended solids. Iron and chromium concentrations exceeded recommended standards in some samples, indicating potential health concerns. Regular monitoring and appropriate treatment of campus water sources are therefore recommended.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

I want to appreciate the Department of Natural Science Laboratory Technology, Faculty of Science Laboratory

Technology. I also want to appreciate the Department of Chemistry, Faculty of Physical Sciences for their laboratory space and allowing this work to be carried out without hitches.

REFERENCES

1. ADEGOKE, A.A., OKOH, A.I. & OLAYEMI, O.O. (2020). Antibiotic-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* in wastewater and borehole water in a rural community. *Water Science and Technology*, **81**(6): 1194–1203.
2. WORLD HEALTH ORGANIZATION (WHO) (2004). Sulphate in drinking-water. Background document for development of WHO guidelines for drinking-water quality. World Health Organization, Geneva. 51 pp
3. EGWARI, I. & ABOABA, O.O. (2002). Environmental impact on the bacteriological quality of domestic water supplies in Lagos, Nigeria. *Rev Saude Publica*, **36**(4): 513-520.
4. OYEDEJI, O., OLUTIOLA, P.O. & MONINUOLA, M.A. (2010). Microbiological quality of package drinking water brands marketed in Ibadan metropolis ad Ile- Ife City in Southwestern Nigeria. *African Journal Microbiology Research*, **4**(2): 96-102
5. ADESAKIN, T.A., OYEWALE, A.T., BAYERO, U., MOHAMMED, A.N., ADUWO, I.A., AHMED, P.Z., ABUBAKAR, N.D. & BARJE, I.B. (2020). Assessment of bacteriological quality and physiological parameters of domestic water sources in Samara community, Zaria, Northwest Nigeria. *Heliyon*, **6**(8): 1-13
6. GOVINDARAJAN, M. & SENTHILNATHAN, T. (2014). Groundwater quality and its health impact analysis in an industrial area. *International Journal of Current Microbiology and Applied Science*, **3**:1028–1034.
7. WELCH, P., DAVID, J., CLARKE, T.A.P.D., BERSTON, S., MCDUGALL, L. & ADEIYIN, A.A. (2000). Microbial quality of water in rural communities of Trinidad. *Pan American Journal Public Health*, **8**(3): 172-180.
8. ABDULSALAM, H., NUHU, I. & LAWAL, Y. (2019). Physicochemical and heavy metals assessment of some selected borehole water in Dutse town of Jigawa State. *FUDMA Journal of Science*, **3**(4): 212-223
9. EKHAISE, F.O. & OMAVWOYA, B.P. (2008). Influence of hospital wastewater discharged from University of Benin Teaching Hospital (UBTH), Benin City on Its Receiving Environment. *American-Eurasian Journal of*

- Agricultural and Environmental Sciences*, **4**(4): 484-488.
10. THOMPSON, J.M., GUNDOGDU, A., STRATTON, H.M. & KATOULI, M. (2012). Antibiotic resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* in hospital wastewaters and sewage treatment plants with special reference to methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* (MRSA). *Journal of Applied Microbiology*, **114**(1): 44 – 54.
11. TSEGAHUN, A., LETEMICHAEL, N., AMLSHA, K. & YEMANE, W. (2017). Antibiotic Resistant Bacteria from Treated and Untreated Hospital Wastewater at Ayder Referral Hospital, Mekelle, North Ethiopia. *Advances in Microbiology*, **7**: 871-886.
12. AOAC (2000). *Official Methods of Analysis*. 17th Edition, Association of Official Analytical Chemist, Gaithersburg, Maryland. pp. 11-18.
13. WORLD HEALTH ORGANIZATION (2017). *Guidelines for drinking-water quality: Fourth edition incorporating the first addendum*. WHO Press, Geneva, Switzerland. 631 pp.
14. ATUANYA, E.I., ADEGHE, O.M. & IYAHEN, E. (2018). Effects of storage on borehole water quality stored in plastic containers for prolong periods. *Journal of Applied Science and Environmental Management*, **22**(12): 1925–1930.
15. ABDULLAHI, M., SAIDU, B.T. SALIHU, B.A. & MOHAMMED, S.A. (2013). Bacteriological and physicochemical properties of borehole water in Niger State Polytechnic, Zungeru Campus. *Indian Journal of Science Research*, **4**(1): 1-6.
16. DHANAJI, K.G., SHAGUFTA, S.A. & PRAMOD, J.N. (2016). Physico-Chemical analysis of drinking water samples of different places in Kadegaon Tahsil, Maharashtra (India). *Advances in Applied Science Research*, **7**(6):41-44.
17. JIA, X., SPECK, A., FENG, X. & LEE, C.W. (2025). Impact of water pH and cultivar on lettuce growth, water use efficiency and nutrient use efficiency in deep water culture systems. *Water*, **17**(17): 2637-2641
18. JOSIAH, J.S., NWANGWU, C.O.S., OMAGE, K., AKPANYUNG, O.E. & AMAKA, D.D. (2014). Physicochemical and microbiological properties of water samples used for domestic purposes in Okada town, Edo State, Nigeria. *International Journal of Current Microbiology and Applied Sciences*, **3**(6): 886-894.
19. OLAIYA, S., MAHMUD, H., EBOREIME, L. & AFOLABI, O.C. (2016). Physicochemical and microbial analysis of the effects of abattoirs operation in Estako-West and Central, Edo-State, Nigeria. *Global Journal of Science Frontier Research*, **16**(3): 25-27.
20. ADEGBOYEGA, A.M., OLALUDE, C.B. & ODUNOLA, O.A. (2015). Physicochemical and bacteriological analysis of water samples used for domestic purposes in Idi Ayunre, Oyo State, Southwestern Nigeria. *IOSR Journal of Applied Chemistry*, **8**(10):46-50.
21. OLADIPO, I.C. & ADEBOYE, O.A. (2015). Physicochemical and bacteriological analysis of well water used for drinking and domestic purposes in Ogbomosho, Nigeria. *International Journal of Current Microbiology and Applied Sciences*, **4**(9):136-145.
22. GAMBO, J.B., JAMES, Y. & YAKUBU, M.B. (2015). Physicochemical and bacteriological analysis of well water at crescent road poly quarters, Kaduna. *The International Journal of Engineering and Science*, **4**(11):11-17.
23. PATEL, M. & PATEL, H. (2020). Review on TDS and its significance in drinking water quality. *International Journal of Advance Research, Ideas and Innovations in Technology*, **6**(1): 120–123.
24. KUMAR, M. & PURI, A. (2012). A review of permissible limits of drinking water. *Indian Journal of Occupational and Environmental Medicine*, **16**(1): 40–44.
25. AWASTHI, A., SINGH, S.K., SINGH, R. & MISHRA, A.K. (2021). Health implications of sodium in drinking water and its relationship with TDS. *Environmental Science and Pollution Research*, **28**(19): 24342–24352.
26. SINGH, R.P., KUMAR, S. & KUMARI, P. (2020). Adsorption of toxic elements on suspended solids and their health effects. *Journal of Water and Health*, **18**(5): 728–738.
27. RAVAL, N.P., SHAH, P.U. & SHAH, N.K. (2021). Industrial discharge and its effects on suspended solids in river water: A case study. *Desalination and Water Treatment*, **222**: 314–321.
28. KHAIWAL, R. & SURESH, R. (2020). Sources and impact of suspended solids in water bodies: A review. *Journal of Environmental Biology*, **41**(3): 623–629
29. SUTHAR, S. & SINGH, S. (2018). Microbial contamination in relation to suspended solids in drinking water sources. *Journal of Environmental Health Science and Engineering*, **16**(2): 129–137.
30. DAUDA, D.R., DURO, D.U. & IJAH, J.J. (2016). Physicochemical and microbiological qualities of the abattoir wastewater in part of Minna

- Niger State. *Advances in Life Science and Technology*, **51**: 17 – 25.
31. ALLAMIN, I.A., BORKOMA, M.B., JOSHUA, R. & MACHINA, I.B. (2015). Physicochemical and bacteriological analysis of well water in Kaduna Metropolis, Kaduna State. *Open Access Library Journal*, **2**(6): 1597-1610
 32. AROUNA, A. & DABBERT, S. (2010). Determinants of domestic water use by rural households without access to private improved water sources in Benin: A seemingly unrelated Tobit approach. *Water Resource Management*, **24**(7): 1381–1398.
 33. WORLD HEALTH ORGANIZATION (WHO) (2011). Guidelines for drinking water quality. 4th edition. Geneva: World Health Organization (WHO), 28pp.
 34. CORIA, M.L., FAY, J.P., CSEH, S.B. & BRIZUELA, M.A. (2007). Effect of drinking water with high concentrations of total salts and sulphates on in vitro ruminal degradability of *Thinopyrum ponticum*. *Achieves of Veterinary Medicine*, **39**(3): 261-267.
 35. WORLD HEALTH ORGANIZATION (WHO) (2004). *Sulfate in Drinking Water: Background document for development of WHO Guidelines for Drinking-water Quality. Published on behalf of the World Health Organization (WHO) and the United Nations Environment Programme. Oxford, Alden Press.* 69 pp.
 36. HELMUT, K., HELMUT, R. & LUKAS, E. (2011). Phosphorus in water quality and waste management. *Open Access Peer Review*, **12**(3): 113-119.
 37. KUNDU, S., VASSANDA, M.C., RAJENDIRAN, S.A. & SUBBA, R. (2015). Phosphates from detergents and eutrophication of surface water ecosystem in India. *Current Science*, **5**(1): 23-27
 38. MANASSARAM, D.M., BACKER, L.C. & MOLL, D.M. (2021). A review of nitrates in drinking water: Maternal exposure and adverse reproductive and developmental outcomes. *Environmental Health Perspectives*, **129**(3): 360-371.
 39. WARD, M.H., JONES, R.R., BRENDER, J.D., DE KOK, T.M., WEYER, P.J., NOLAN, B.T. & VAN BREDA, S.G. (2018). Drinking water nitrate and human health: An updated review. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*, **15**(7): 1557.
 40. GOMEZ, I.D.F., CRAMP, R.L. & FRANKLIN, C.E. (2020). Simultaneous exposure to nitrate and low pH reduces the blood oxygen-carrying capacity and functional performance of a freshwater fish. *Conservation Physiology*, **8**: 1-15
 41. TEMKIN, A.M., EVANS, S., MANIDIS, T., CAMPBELL, C., NAIDENKO, O.V. & ZEISE, L. (2019). Exposure-based assessment of cumulative intake of nitrates and nitrites in U.S. drinking water and cancer risk. *Environmental Research*, **177**: 108-116.
 42. SINGH, S., VERMA, D.K. & RATHORE, D. (2021). Assessment of groundwater quality for drinking and irrigation purposes using hydrochemical indices and fuzzy comprehensive evaluation. *Groundwater for Sustainable Development*, **12**: 105-109.
 43. GRILLO, A., SALVI, L., CORUZZI, P., SALVI, P. & GIANFRANCO, P. (2019). Sodium intake and hypertension. *Nutrients*, **11**: 1-16
 44. NIAZI, N.K., NAIDU, R. & BISWAS, B. (2020). Managing trace element contamination in water. In *Water and Climate Change Adaptation. Elsevier*, pp. 213–240
 45. OLALEKAN, R.M., ISMAIL, O.S. & SAHEED, A.K. (2020). A review of groundwater quality in Nigeria: Problems and solutions. *Scientific African*, **7**: 25-39.
 46. SADEGHI, M., SHAMS, M. & AFSHARNIA, M. (2021). Human health risk assessment of iron in drinking water: A case study of rural areas in Iran. *Journal of Water and Health*, **19**(2): 235–245.
 47. GRIFFITH, M., SINGH, A. & SHAH, P. (2018). Chromium contamination and its environmental consequences: A review. *Environmental Chemistry Letters*, **16**(4): 1379–1392.
 48. NIAZI, N.K. NAIDU R. & BISWAS, B. (2020). Managing trace element contamination in water. *Water and Climate Change Adaptation. Elsevier*, pp. 213-240
 49. AMINU, M., ABDULLAHI, U. & IBRAHIM, A. (2021). Heavy metals contamination in groundwater sources in Nigeria: A review. *Scientific African*, **13**: 91-96.
 50. NAYAK, B., ROUT, S. & PRADHAN, A. (2015). Impact of excess zinc in drinking water: Human health perspectives. *Journal of Environmental Biology*, **40**(5): 845–850.
 51. RAHMAN, M.M., KHAN, M.H. & JOLLY, Y.N. (2021). Human health risks from trace metals in drinking water. *Journal of Environmental Science and Health*, **56**(6): 663–671.